## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

MARGARET VIOLET JENKINS WILLIAMS,

Petitioner,

v.

Civil Action No. 3:07cv152 (Judge Bailey)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

## OPINION/REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION THAT MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS BE DENIED

On November 20, 2007, the *pro se* petitioner initiated this case by filing a petition for writ of mandamus against the respondent. This case is before the undersigned on the petitioner's Application for Leave to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees, executed Consent to Collection of Fees form, and Prisoner Trust Account Report with attached ledger sheets.

The ledger sheets and certified copy of the petitioner's inmate account statement reveal that the petitioner had an account balance of \$358.99 at the time this case was filed. Consequently, the petitioner had sufficient funds to pay the \$350.00 filing fee at the time this case was filed. In addition, the petitioner's ledger sheets reflect that she received over \$1200 in deposits during the six months preceding the filing of this action, and that at any given time, the petitioner maintains more than \$260 dollars in her inmate account. In fact, most times, the petitioner maintains more than \$300 in her account, upwards of \$398.

Accordingly, in light of the information disclosed by the submitted financial forms, it is the undersigned's recommendation that the petitioner's Application for Leave to Proceed Without the

Prepayment of Fees (Dckt. 6) be **DENIED** and the petitioner be ordered to pay the full filing fee.

The petitioner should also be warned that the failure to pay the full filing fee within the time allowed

by the Court will result in the dismissal of her petition.

The petitioner may file, within ten (10) days after being served with a copy of this

Recommendation, written objections to the Clerk of Court identifying those portions of the

Recommendation to which objections are made, and the basis for such objections. A copy of such

objections should also be submitted to the Honorable John Preston Bailey, United States District

Judge. Failure to timely file objections to the Recommendation set forth above will result in waiver

of the right to appeal from a judgment of this Court based upon such Recommendation. 28 U.S.C.

§ 636(b)(1); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985);

<u>United States v. Schronce</u>, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), <u>cert. denied</u>, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984).

The Clerk of the Court is directed to mail a copy of this Opinion/Report and

Recommendation to the pro se plaintiff by certified mail, return receipt requested, to her last known

address as shown on her docket sheet.

DATED: December 5, 2007.

JOHN S. KAULL

s John S. Kaull

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2